INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

MCDR INSPECTION REPORT

Nagpur regional office

	Mine :	file No : MAH/YTL/LST-	63	NGP	Mine code : 38MSH26039
	(i)	Name of the Inspecting Officer and ID No.	:	D025) S. M. DORLE	
	(ii)	Designation	:	Assistant Mining Engineer	
	(iii)	Accompaning mine Official with Designation	:	Shri Vairagade, Shri Deoru	s, Shri M.P.Patel
	(iv)	Date of Inspection	:	21/09/2018	
	(v)	Prev.inspection date	:	25/08/2017	
		PAF	۲ -	I : GENERAL INFORMATION	
1.	(a)	Mine Name	:	ADEGAON (9.97H)	
	(b)	Registration NO.	:		
	(c) (d) (e)	Category Type of Working Postal address State District Village Taluka	::	B Manual Opencast MAHARASHTRA YEOTMAL Adegaon Zari	
		Post office Pin Code FAX No. E-mail Phone	:	-	
	(f)	Police Station	:		
	(g)	First opening date	:	23/05/2006	
	(h)	Weekly day of rest	:		
2.		ess for espondance	:	49/5, Nehru Nagar (E), At & P.O. Bhilai, Distt. Durg	
3.	(a) (b) (c) (d)	Lease Number Lease area Period of lease Date of Expiry	:	MSH0293 9.97 50 21/07/2053	
4.	Miner	ral worked	:	DOLOMITE Asso LIMESTONE Main	ociated

5.	Name and Address of	the	
	Lessee		SHRI T.R. MISHRA
			49/5, Nehru Nagar (E), At & P.O. Bhilai, Distt. Durg DURG CHHATTISGARH Phone:
			FAX :
	Owner	:	SHRI T.R. MISHRA
			49/5, Nehru Nagar (E), At & P.O. Bhilai, Distt. Durg DURG CHHATTISGARH Phone:
			FAX :
б.	Date of approval of Plan/Scheme of Minim		ng : Fresh under rule 22 MCR1960 30/04/2003 Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 07/05/2009

Modif.approved Mining Scheme31/10/2012Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR198829/08/2016

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

Exploration :

Sl.No.	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
la	Backlog of previous year	No back log	Nil	
1b	Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2	Not proposed	Nil	
lc	Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year	Nil	Nil	
1d	Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2	Not Proposed	Nil	
le	Balance reserve as on 01/04/20	Balance reserves as on 01.04.2016 is 2444304 MT of Limestone		
lf	General remarks of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc			As per lessess, they have drilled nine non coring boreholes but as per MEMC rule non coreing boreholes are not acceptable.

Development :

l.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
2a	Location of development w.r.t.lease area	-	Nil	
2b	Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and minerals (Rule 15)	Benches for OB	Nil	
2c	Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio	Nil	Nil	working from old dump
2d	Quantity of topsoil generation in m3	Nil	Nil	

2e Quantity of 180 m3 Nil
overburden
generation in m3
2f General remarks
of inspecting
officers on
development of
pit w.r.t. type
of deposit etc

Mine is having Dolomite and Limestone mineral.

Exploitation:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
3a	Number of pit proposed for production	One	One	
3b	Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed	900 MT	910 MT	
3c	Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production	60%	100%	
3d	Quantity of mineral reject generation	Nil	Nil	
3e	Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared.	CaO - 28 % MgO - 17 % SiO2 - 3%	Nil	
3f	Quantity of sub grade mineral generation.	Nil	Nil	
3g	Grade of sub grade mineral generation	Nil	Nil	
3h	Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM	Manual	Manual	
3i	Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects.	Not proposed	NA	

3ј	Provision of drilling and blasting in mineral benches	Small hole drilling	Nil	
3k	Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches	Not proposed	Nil	
31	Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM	As per mining proposed in MP/SOM	OB -1.5 m Ore - 1.5 m	
3m	Total area covered under excavation/pits	3.61 Hect	2.52 Hect.	
3n	Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year.	2 : 1	Nil	
30	Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year	Pit-3.61 Waste/reject 1.08 Infrastructure - 0.45 Road -0.4	Pit-2.52 Waste/reject 1.08 Infrastructure - 0.035 Road -0.4	
3р	Production of ROM mineral during the last five year period as applicable		Yr-2013-14 - 00. Yr-2014-15 -500.560 T Yr-2015-16 1570 T Yr-2016-17 00 T Yr 2017-18 783 T	
3q	General remarks of inspecting officers on method of mining etc.			This mine is having production mainly of Dolomite

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

-

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
4a	Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33)	504 cu m	Nil	

4b	Location of topsoil, OB and mineral reject dumps	N 200 to 400 E 00 to 100 and N 100 to 00 E400 to 500	Nil	
4c	Number of dumps within lease area and outside of lease area	2 Nos	2 Nos	
4d	Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16)	Central part of lease, and NW of existing pit	As per proposal	
4e	Number of active and alive dumps.	Two	Two	
4f	Number of dead dumps.	Nil	Nil	
4g	Number of dumps established.	Nil	Nil	
4h	Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there.	Proposed	100 m long reitaining wall prepared	
4i	Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps	Not Proposed	100 m long reitaining wall prepared	
4j	Number of settling ponds	Not proposed	Nil	
4k	Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management			Owner has to shifted dumps in 7.5 m boundary for further development.

Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
5a	Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling.	Not Proposed	full extraction of mineral - Not Proposed	
5b	Area under backfilling of mined out area	Not Prposed	Nil	

5c Concurrent use use of topsoil As per proposal of topsoil for - Plantation restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32) 5d Total area Nil None fully reclaimed and rehabilitated 5e General remarks No backfilling of inspecting stage has arrived officers on backfilling and reclamation etc.

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
ба	Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2).	Proposed	Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly - Rule 26(2).	
6b	Area available for rehabilitation (ha) .	Not Proposed	Nil	
6c	afforestation done (ha).	Not Proposed	Nil	
6d	No. of saplings planted during the year	Not Proposed	Around 100 saplings planted	
бе	Cumulative no .of plants	Not Proposed	around 300 nos	
6f	Any other method of rehabilitation	Not Proposed	Nil	
бд	Cost incurred on watch and care during the year	Not Proposed	NA	
6h	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling (Lx B x D	None	Nil	

6i	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings	None	Nil
бј	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii)Afforestati on on backfilled area	None	Nil
6k	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir	None	Nil
61	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v)any other specific means.	None	Nil
бm	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i)afforestation	None	Nil
бn	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii)Area rehabilitation (ha)	None	Nil
60	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii)Method of rehabilitation	None	Nil
бр	Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone)	None	Nil

бq General remarks of inspecting officers on PMCP compliance and progressive closure operations etc.

Mineral Conservation:

Sl.No. Item Propasals Actual work Remarks 7a ROM Mineral Proposed ROM As per proposal dispatch or Mineral grade-wise dispatch without gradesorting within lease area wise sorting within lease area 7b Method of grade- Manual Manual wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical. Different grade 7c No different As per proposal of mineral grade of mineral sorted sorted out at out at mines mines. only cement grade only 7d Any Not proposed Nil beneficiation process at mines 7e General remarks only sizing has of inspecting been done in officer on crusher for cement Mineral grade limestone conservation and beneficiation issues

Environment:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
8a	Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32)	Proposed	Separate removal and utilization of topsoil for plantation in lease area	

A stage of backfilling is yet to come

8b	Concurrent use or storage of topsoil	Proposed.	Topsoil is very less quantity -Use for Plantation within lease	
8c	Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33)	Proposed	area As per proposal	
8d	Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use	Proposed	Yes , Use of overburden, waste rock presently use for road repairing within lease area and gram panchayat road on demand . It will be used for backfilling at the end of life of mine for restoring the land to its original use	
8e	Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc)	Not proposed	Nil	
8f	Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41)	NA	Nil	
8g	Survival rate	NA	Very poor due rocky surface ,without soil.	
8h	Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust	Proposed	Yes , done by water tanker	
8i	General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area			The asthetic beauty of the lease area is fair

Compliance of Rule 45:

Sl.No. Item Propasals Actual work Remarks

9a Status of Annual return It is found most of the submission of for 2017-18 columns fillef up and monthly Monthly and correctly. Annual returns return upto August 2018 received 9b Scrutiny of Mining Geologist is not Violation of Rule Annual return Engineer and appointed 55(1)(3) is being for information Manager Shri. issued on Mining M.P.Patel Engineer, Geologist and Manager 9c Scrutiny of Around 3.43 Around 3.43 Hects Annual return on Hects land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc. 9d Scrutiny of 100 with found correct Annual return on survival 50% afforestation 9e Scrutiny of Reject Not found correct Annual return on generated mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity) 9f Scrutiny of production Annual return on shown from 710 ROM stock and/or tonnes and graded ore from dump working 200 tonnes 9g Scrutiny of Sale value, Cost of production shown Annual return on Ex. Mine price more than sale price & production cost of production is Rs sale value, Ex. Mine price and cost shown Rs 480/per tonnes. 450 per tonnes production cost tonnes 9h Scrutiny of Nil ____ Annual return on fixed assets 9k Scrutiny of Only backhoe All other machinaries Annual return on shown in not shown mining return machineries

Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of violation pointed out								
Violation observed				Show couse position				
Rule NO.	Issued on	Compliance	on	Rule NO.	Issued on Compliance on			

Date :

(S. M. DORLE)

Indian Bureau of Mines